

This document is a compilation of computational subproblems and their analytical solutions that I have encountered as a result of implementing different first-order algorithms. Some may be more detailed than others depending on how obvious the solution was to me at first.

1 Projections

In many first-order methods, a projection problem is often required to be solved per iteration in order to solve a more general optimization problem. While there exists projection free methods, sometimes the projection onto a specific set S can be done analytically and is not a computational burden. We will look at a few such instances. We seek to solve problems of the form

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{x \in S} \|x - u\|^2$$

for some convex set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1.1 Standard Spectrahedron

Let $m^2 = n$ and notice that a projection onto the standard spectrahedron

$$\operatorname{Spe}_m := \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m} \mid X \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(X) = 1\}$$

takes the form

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{X \in \operatorname{Spe}_n} \|X - U\|_F^2$$

for some matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$. Since $X \succeq 0$, it has eigendecomposition $X = V^T \Lambda V$ for some orthogonal matrix V and diagonal matrix Λ . If we denote λ to be the vector satisfying $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda) = \Lambda$, then by multiplying on the right and left by V^T and V respectively, we can consider the equivalent problem without loss of generality

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda), \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda) = 1, \Lambda \succeq 0} \|\Lambda - U\|_F^2 = \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda^T e = 1, \lambda \geq 0} \|\lambda\|_2^2 - 2\langle \lambda, \operatorname{diag}(U) \rangle = \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda^T e = 1, \lambda \geq 0} \|\lambda - \operatorname{diag}(U)\|_2^2$$

after rewriting the objective function using the Frobenius product. Here, $e \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector of all 1's and $\Delta_m := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \lambda^T e = 1, \lambda \geq 0\}$ is usually denoted the standard simplex. That is, projecting onto the standard spectrahedron requires projecting the diagonal of U onto the standard simplex.

2 Solving Proximal Problems of "Easy" Functions

There are many algorithms that assume the knowledge of an analytical solution to a proximal problem,

$$\operatorname{prox}_h(\tau, u) := \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} h(w) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w - u\|^2$$

for some constant $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here, we will solve this problem for different choices of $h(w)$.

2.1 2-Norm

Let $h(w) = \|w - b\|_2$. We know that

$$h(w) = \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \langle w - b, \xi \rangle,$$

so the optimization problem becomes

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \langle w - b, \xi \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w - u\|^2 = \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle w - b, \xi \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w - u\|^2.$$

by the minimax theorem. An optimal solution to the min problem is $w^* := w^*(\xi)$ such that

$$\xi + \tau(w^* - u) = 0, \text{ i.e., } w^* = u - \frac{\xi}{\tau}.$$

Continuing with the optimization problem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle w - b, \xi \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w - u\|^2 &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \langle u - b, \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{\tau} \|\xi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|\xi\|^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \langle u - b, \xi \rangle - \frac{1}{2\tau} \|\xi\|^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} 2\tau \langle u - b, \xi \rangle - \|\xi\|^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \|\xi\|^2 - 2\langle \tau(u - b), \xi \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \|\xi - \tau(u - b)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is to say, project $\tau(u - b)$ to the unit ball to obtain ξ , then set $w^* = u - \frac{\xi}{\tau}$.

2.2 Maximum Eigenvalue

Let $n = m^2$ and let $h(w)$ denote the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix $\operatorname{reshape}(w) := W$. Rewriting $\operatorname{prox}_h(\tau, u)$ in its matrix equivalent representation, we have

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2}} h(w) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w - u\|^2 = \min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \Lambda(W) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|W - U\|_F^2$$

where $\Lambda(W)$ denotes the largest eigenvalue of W , X is the matrix corresponding to the reshaped vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$, and $\|\cdot\|_F$ is the Frobenius norm. Since

$$\Lambda(W) = \max_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \langle W, A \rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmin}_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \Lambda(W) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|W - U\|_F^2 &= \operatorname{argmin}_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \operatorname{argmax}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \langle W, A \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|W - U\|_F^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \operatorname{argmin}_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \langle W, A \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|W - U\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing as before, the inner minimization problem has solution W^* satisfying $A + \tau(W^* - U) = 0$. Thus, it suffices to solve

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \left\langle U - \frac{A}{\tau}, A \right\rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \left\| U - \frac{A}{\tau} - U \right\|_F^2 &= \operatorname{argmax}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \left\langle U, A \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2\tau} \|A\|_F^2 \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \|A\|_F^2 - 2\langle U\tau, A \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{A \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(A)=1} \|A - U\tau\|_F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Our solution w to the original problem is then obtained by projecting τU to the standard spectrahedron to get A , setting $W^* = U - \frac{A}{\tau}$ and then vectorizing W^* to get w^* .

3 Optimizing a Linear Objective

In projection free algorithms, it is often the case that we require a solution to a linear objective optimization problem over our feasible set. That is, we seek a solution to

$$x^* \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{x \in S} \langle c, x \rangle$$

for some convex set S and cost vector c . We will discuss how to do this for various feasible sets.

3.1 Standard Spectrahedron

Reshaping the linear objective for matrix problems, linear objective over the standard spectrahedron is as follows: for any nondefective matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ find X^* such that

$$X^* \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{X \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(X)=1} C \bullet X$$

where \bullet represents the Frobenius inner product. Without loss of generality, we may assume that C is symmetric. Recall that

$$\min_{X \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(X)=1} C \bullet X = \lambda(C)$$

where $\lambda(C)$ is the minimum eigenvalue of C . Thus, it suffices to find an $X \in \operatorname{Spe}_n := \{X : X \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(X) = 1\}$ such that $C \bullet X = \operatorname{tr}(CX) = \lambda(C)$. Let C have eigendecomposition $U^{-1}\Lambda U$, and k be an index such that $\Lambda_{kk} = \lambda(C)$. Then choosing $X^* = U^{-1}I_k U$ where $I_k = \operatorname{diag}(e_k)$ implies that

$$C \bullet X^* = \operatorname{tr}(U^{-1}\Lambda U U^{-1}I_k U) = \operatorname{tr}(U^{-1}\Lambda I_k U) = \lambda(C)$$

since $U^{-1}\Lambda I_k U$ has eigenvalues 0 with multiplicity $n - 1$ and $\lambda(C)$ with multiplicity 1. Also note that since C is symmetric, it admits an orthogonal eigendecomposition, i.e. $U^{-1} = U^T$. Thus,

$$X^* = U^{-1}I_k U = U^T I_k U = u_k u_k^T.$$

Consequently, our linear optimization only requires us to find the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue of smallest magnitude.

3.2 Birkhoff Polytopes

We want to efficiently compute a solution to

$$\min_{X \in B_n} C \bullet X$$

where C is an $n \times n$ matrix, and the Birkhoff polytope, denoted B_n , is the set of doubly stochastic matrices, i.e.

$$B_n := \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid P^T e = e, Pe = e, P \geq 0\}.$$

Here, e denotes the n -dimensional vector of 1's and $P \geq 0$ implies that each entry of P is nonnegative. By the Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem, we know that $B_n = \text{conv}(S)$ where S is the set of projection matrices. Since we are minimizing a linear objective over a convex hull, we know that one of the extreme points must be an optimal solution. Thus, it suffices to find a permutation matrix P such that $C \bullet P$ is as small as possible. In particular, we must choose n indices with no overlapping rows or columns such that the sum of the entries of C of these indices is a minimum. If we view C as a cost matrix, this is a variant of the assignment problem¹. There are different algorithms to solve this assignment problem, most notably the [Hungarian algorithm](#) which runs in $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time. Thankfully, MATLAB 2019a has a function, `matchpairs`, which solves this problem. This function requires a cost matrix and an unmatched cost as input. To avoid returning any unmatched tasks (which would result in a singular "permutation" matrix), simply set the unmatched cost larger than the largest value in C .

¹In fact, the Birkhoff polytope is also called the assignment polytope.